

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

--

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner

Appeal No 34/2018/SIC-I

Shri Shrikant Naik Simepurushkar
R/o. Flat No. F2,
Ananta Apartment, Angod Waddo,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa

....Appellant

V/s

1) The Public Information Officer,
Mamlatdar of Bardez,
Mapusa, Bardez-Goa

....Respondent

Filed on: 30/01/2018

Decided on: 15/03/2018

ORDER

1. The appellant Shri Shrikant Simepurushkar herein by his application dated 23/10/2017 filed under section 6(1) of Right to Information Act, 2005 sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO), office of Mamlatdar of Bardez at Mapusa, Goa, as stated therein in the said application.
2. It is contention of the Appellant that the said application was not responded by Respondent PIO as such he preferred 1st appeal before the Deputy collector and S.D.O at Mapusa –Bardez , Goa, being First appellate Authority (FAA).

3. The First appellate authority by an order, dated 9/01/2018, allowed the said appeal and directed PIO to furnish the information as sought by the appellant vide his application dated 23/10/2017 within 15 days, free of cost, from the date of receipt of the order.
4. It is contention of the Appellant that the Respondent PIO did not comply the order of the First Appellate authority and as such he was forced to approach this Commission by way of second appeal filed under section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 on 30/01/2018.
5. Notices were issued to both the parties. In pursuant to which appellant was represented by his son Shri Siddesh Simepurushkar. Respondent No. 1 PIO was represented by shri Shailesh Kothawale and Dattaprasad Kakatkar, UDC.
6. Reply filed by respondent PIO on 9/03/2018 thereby enclosing the copies of available information and vide additional reply dated 15/03/2018 provided clarification on point No. 1 and 2. Copy of the same was furnished to representative of appellant.
7. On verification of the information the representative of appellant submitted that the information furnished to him as per his requirement and that he has no any further grievance in respect of information furnished to him. He further submitted

that he doesnot desired to press for penal provisions. Accordingly endorsed his say on the last page of Memo of appeal.

8. Inview of submissions of the representative of the appellant and endorsement made there too. I find no reasons to proceed with the present appeal.
9. Appeal disposed accordingly. Proceeding stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms.Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

Ak/-

